DPU

Dr. D. Y. PATIL VIDYAPEETH, PUNE

(Deemed to be University)

(Re-accredited by **NAAC** with a **CGPA** of **3.62** on a four point scale at **'A' Grade**)
20th rank in **Medical Category** and **46th** rank in **University Category** in India **(NIRF-2019)**
(Declared as **Category - I University** by UGC Under Graded Autonomy Regulations, 2018)
(An ISO 9001 : 2015 and 14001 : 2015 Certified University)

Consolidated Feedback Report (Year 2014 to 2019)

DPU

Dr. D. Y. Patil Vidyapeeth, Pune
(Deemed to be University)
(Re-accredited by NAAC with a CGPA of 3.62 on a four point scale at A Grade)
(An ISO 9001:2015 and 14001:2015 Certified University)

Extract of the resolutions passed in the 49th Meeting of Board of Management held on 30th July, 2019 at 11.30 a.m. in the Board Room of Dr. D. Y. Patil Vidyapeeth, Pune.

Internal Quality Assurance Cell
(Feedback Analysis)

Item No. BM-33-19: Any other item with the consent of the Chair

Item No. BM-33(i)-19: To consider (a) **feedback analysis on various defined parameters** for the semester ended on 31st December, 2018 of each Constituent College / Institute and (b) **structured consolidated feedback report** for academic year 2014-15 to 2018-19.

[The feedback analysis on various parameters on library, infrastructure, courses offered, faculty, etc. for the semester ended on 31st December, 2018, done by the Heads of the respective constituent Institute / Colleges was reviewed and placed before the Board of Management for its information.]

[Further Structured Consolidated Feedback Analysis on various parameters including curriculum collected from various stakeholders for the Academic Years 2014-15 to 2018-19 was presented by the IQAC Coordinator before the Board of Management for its consideration.]

Resolution No. BM-33(i)-19: After detailed discussion, it was resolved that the feedback analysis done by all the Heads of the respective Constituent Institutes / Colleges, prepared as per SOP for Feedback Analysis approved in the Board of Management meeting held on 12th April, 2019, on various defined parameters for the semester ended on 31st December, 2018, be accepted and noted.

Further it was resolved that Structured Consolidated Feedback Analysis on various parameters including curriculum, collected from various stakeholders for the Academic Year 2014-15 to 2018-19 be accepted and noted.

Dr. D. Y. PATIL VIDYAPEETH * PIMPRI, PUNE-18. * Deemed to be University

(Dr. A. N. Suryakar)
Registrar

****

Dr. D. Y. Patil Vidyapeeth

Sant Tukaram Nagar, Pimpri Pune

FEEDBACK REPORT
On Curriculum

Table of Contents

Summary – Combined Average 2
Institute wise Combined Summary Data 5
MEDICAL - Year wise Summary Data 7
DENTAL - Year wise Summary Data 9
Biotechnology - Year wise Summary Data 11
GBSRC - Year wise Summary Data 13
PHYSIOTHERAPY - Year wise Summary Data 15
OPTOMETRY - Year wise Summary Data 17
NURSING - Year wise Summary Data 18
HOMOEOPATHY - Year wise Summary Data 20
AYURVED - Year wise Summary Data 21
Institute wise Feedback Data 22
- 2014-15 22
- 2015-16 32
- 2016-17 42
- 2017-18 52
- 2018-19 57
  - Employer Feedback 57
  - Professionals Feedback 61
  - Alumni Feedback 65
  - Staff Feedback 69
  - Student Feedback 72

Summary – Combined Average

Combined Average of Responses given by Students of 7 Institutes (Year 2014-2018)

#	Feedback	Strongly Agreed Responses %
1	Applicability/relevance to real life situation	84
2	Coverage of entire syllabus in the evaluation process	83
3	Depth of the subject content	84
4	Extent of coverage of subject	85
5	Learning value - Analytical abilities	87
6	Learning value – Knowledge	85
7	Learning value - Practical Skill	86
8	Lesson plan (schedule of lectures / Practices / Tutorials) provided at the beginning of academic year/ Semester	87
9	Relevance/learning value of project / Report / Seminar/ any other academic assignment	89
10	To what extent contents beyond syllabus have been covered	88
11	To what extent the evaluation process was fair and unbiased	91
12	To what extent transparency of internal Assessment was observed	88
13	Use of other innovative teaching methodologies (Problem Based Learning, Integrated teaching, etc)	84
14	Usefulness of the program/course in building your career	91



Feedback Responses given by Employer, Professionals, Alumni & Staff, Year: *2018-19*

#	Feedback	Strongly Agreed Responses %
1	Course Outcome of the syllabus is well defined and clear	84
2	Curricula developed and implemented have relevance to the local, national, regional and global health care need	88
3	Curriculum Focus on competency/ employability/ entrepreneurship/ skill-development	88
4	Programme outcome of the syllabus is well defined and clear	85
5	Research oriented syllabus	84
6	Syllabus is suitable to the course	87
7	The courses have good balance between theory and practical	87



Feedback Responses given by the Students, Year: *2018-19*

#	Feedback	Strongly Agreed Responses %
1	Content of curricula had depth and extensive coverage	82
2	Curriculum contents Strengthened my skills and knowledge	87
3	Goals and objectives of the respective subject were clearly stated in the curriculum	82
4	I do not face any difficulty in relating the lessons learned in the curriculum	88
5	I have acquired the skill to access electronic databases and apply the information so gathered in the medical education	91
6	Learning activities of respective subjects were matched the objectives	82
7	Methods of evaluation in all internal assessment and university examination were appropriate and fair	89
8	The contents of each lesson were as per my prospects	88



Institute wise Combined Summary Data

Year wise Feedback Responses given by the Students for Curriculum

#	Feedback	Strongly Agreed Responses by the Students							
		Medical	Dental	Biotech	GBSRC	Physio	Optom	Nursing	Average
1	Applicability/relevance to real life situation	80	87	81	86	86	82	86	84
2	Coverage of entire syllabus in the evaluation process	81	84	81	83	86	81	83	83
3	Depth of the subject content	78	86	83	88	84	80	87	84
4	Extent of coverage of subject	86	86	85	81	88	82	87	85
5	Learning value - Analytical abilities	86	90	86	88	88	84	86	87
6	Learning value – Knowledge	91	82	88	87	84	81	84	85
7	Learning value - Practical Skill	85	87	88	91	87	82	83	86
8	Lesson plan (schedule of lectures / Practices / Tutorials) provided at the beginning of academic year/ Semester	88	83	90	87	87	86	87	87
9	Relevance/learning value of project / Report / Seminar/ any other academic assignment	87	90	90	93	89	88	86	89
10	To what extent contents beyond syllabus have been covered	85	85	91	91	89	86	90	88
11	To what extent the evaluation process was fair and unbiased	89	87	89	92	95	91	93	91
12	To what extent transparency of internal Assessment was observed	83	90	84	91	91	86	89	88
13	Use of other innovative teaching methodologies (Problem Based Learning, Integrated teaching, etc)	82	86	82	88	88	81	84	84
14	Usefulness of the program/course in building your career	88	89	93	92	93	89	91	91

Year wise Feedback Responses given by the Students for Library

#	Feedback	Strongly Agreed Responses by the Students						
		Medical	Dental	Biotech	GBSRC	Physio	Nursing	Average
1	Availability of Books	90	90	87	86	86	83	87
2	Availability of Journals	86	85	86	87	84	85	86
3	Behavior of the library staff	92	89	87	90	92	88	90
4	Traceability of books and journals	91	91	89	88	90	88	90
5	Working hours of library	96	94	91	89	88	90	91
6	Photostat facility	93	93	91	90	91	91	92
7	Back volume services	89	88	89	90	86	89	89
8	The library ambience	100	99	95	96	93	93	96
9	Seating arrangements	100	99	92	94	95	95	96
10	Books and Journals new arrival display	98	96	93	93	91	94	94
11	Printing facility	87	86	89	89	87	86	87
12	Scanning facility	87	87	87	89	91	86	88

Year wise Feedback Responses given by the Students for Campus Facilities

#	Feedback	Strongly Agreed Responses by the Students						
		Medical	Dental	Biotech	GBSRC	Physio	Nursing	Average
1	Computer/ Wi-Fi facilities	85	88	84	91	91	91	88
2	Hostel facilities	89	86	91	90	87	87	88
3	Sports facilities	88	89	90	90	86	83	88

Dr. D. Y. Patil Medical College, Hospital & Research Centre
Year wise Summary Data

Year wise Feedback Responses given by the Students for Curriculum

#	Feedback / Year	Strongly Agreed Responses by the Students				
		2014-15 %	2015-16 %	2016-17 %	2017-18 %	Average %
1	Applicability/relevance to real life situation	80	80	80	81	80
2	Coverage of entire syllabus in the evaluation process	85	80	80	80	81
3	Depth of the subject content	75	80	81	75	78
4	Extent of coverage of subject	83	80	96	83	86
5	Learning value - Analytical abilities	81	81	90	90	86
6	Learning value – Knowledge	88	96	90	88	91
7	Learning value - Practical Skill	79	90	91	81	85
8	Lesson plan (schedule of lectures / Practices / Tutorials) provided at the beginning of academic year/ Semester	84	90	92	84	88
9	Relevance/learning value of project / Report / Seminar/ any other academic assignment	90	81	88	90	87
10	To what extent contents beyond syllabus have been covered	81	91	87	81	85
11	To what extent the evaluation process was fair and unbiased	80	92	91	92	89
12	To what extent transparency of internal Assessment was observed	81	88	80	81	83
13	Use of other innovative teaching methodologies (Problem Based Learning, Integrated teaching, etc)	80	87	80	80	82
14	Usefulness of the program/course in building your career	96	91	80	86	88

Feedback Responses given by Employer, Professionals, Alumni & Staff for Curriculum, Year: *2018-19*

#	Feedback	Strongly Agreed Responses %
1	Course Outcome of the syllabus is well defined and clear	80
2	Curricula developed and implemented have relevance to the local, national, regional and global health care need	87
3	Curriculum Focus on competency/ employability/ entrepreneurship/ skill-development	85
4	Programme outcome of the syllabus is well defined and clear	82
5	Research oriented syllabus	83
6	Syllabus is suitable to the course	83
7	The courses have good balance between theory and practical	83

Feedback Responses given by the Students for Curriculum, Year: *2018-19*

#	Feedback	Strongly Agreed Responses %
1	Content of curricula had depth and extensive coverage	80
2	Curriculum contents Strengthened my skills and knowledge	85
3	Goals and objectives of the respective subject were clearly stated in the curriculum	80
4	I do not face any difficulty in relating the lessons learned in the curriculum	81
5	I have acquired the skill to access electronic databases and apply the information so gathered in the medical education	90
6	Learning activities of respective subjects were matched the objectives	80
7	Methods of evaluation in all internal assessment and university examination were appropriate and fair	81
8	The contents of each lesson were as per my prospects	81

Year wise Feedback Responses given by the Students for Library

#	Year	Strongly Agreed Responses by the Students			
	Feedback	2014-15 %	2015-16 %	2016-17 %	Average %
1	Availability of Books	88	90	91	90
2	Availability of Journals	85	86	87	86
3	Behavior of the library staff	90	92	93	92
4	Traceability of books and journals	91	93	90	91
5	Working hours of library	96	96	96	96
6	Photostat facility	93	92	94	93
7	Back volume services	90	89	87	89
8	The library ambience	100	100	100	100
9	Seating arrangements	100	99	100	100
10	Books and Journals new arrival display	98	98	98	98
11	Printing facility	88	87	86	87
12	Scanning facility	84	91	87	87

Year wise Feedback Responses given by the Students for Campus Facilities

#	Year	Strongly Agreed Responses by the Students			
	Feedback	2014-15 %	2015-16 %	2016-17 %	Average %
1	Computer/ Wi-Fi facilities	86	88	81	85
2	Hostel facilities	90	87	89	89
3	Sports facilities	85	88	90	88

Dr. D. Y. Patil Dental College & Hospital
Year wise Summary Data

Year wise Feedback Responses given by the Students for Curriculum

#		Strongly Agreed Responses by the Students				
	Year / Feedback	2014-15 %	2015-16 %	2016-17 %	2017-18 %	Average %
1	Applicability/relevance to real life situation	83	85	85	93	87
2	Coverage of entire syllabus in the evaluation process	82	85	85	85	84
3	Depth of the subject content	83	85	93	83	86
4	Extent of coverage of subject	84	85	91	84	86
5	Learning value - Analytical abilities	89	93	89	89	90
6	Learning value – Knowledge	81	91	75	81	82
7	Learning value - Practical Skill	80	89	87	90	87
8	Lesson plan (schedule of lectures / Practices / Tutorials) provided at the beginning of academic year/ Semester	85	75	87	85	83
9	Relevance/learning value of project / Report / Seminar/ any other academic assignment	91	90	87	91	90
10	To what extent contents beyond syllabus have been covered	82	87	88	82	85
11	To what extent the evaluation process was fair and unbiased	85	87	88	87	87
12	To what extent transparency of internal Assessment was observed	93	87	85	93	90
13	Use of other innovative teaching methodologies (Problem Based Learning, Integrated teaching, etc)	85	88	85	85	86
14	Usefulness of the program/course in building your career	91	88	85	91	89

Feedback Responses given by Employer, Professionals, Alumni & Staff for Curriculum, Year: *2018-19*

#	Feedback	Strongly Agreed Responses %
1	Course Outcome of the syllabus is well defined and clear	83
2	Curricula developed and implemented have relevance to the local, national, regional and global health care need	87
3	Curriculum Focus on competency/ employability/ entrepreneurship/ skill-development	90
4	Programme outcome of the syllabus is well defined and clear	85
5	Research oriented syllabus	86
6	Syllabus is suitable to the course	89
7	The courses have good balance between theory and practical	87

Feedback Responses given by the Students for Curriculum, Year: *2018-19*

#	Feedback	Strongly Agreed Responses %
1	Content of curricula had depth and extensive coverage	81
2	Curriculum contents Strengthened my skills and knowledge	86
3	Goals and objectives of the respective subject were clearly stated in the curriculum	83
4	I do not face any difficulty in relating the lessons learned in the curriculum	89
5	I have acquired the skill to access electronic databases and apply the information so gathered in the medical education	91
6	Learning activities of respective subjects were matched the objectives	83

7	Methods of evaluation in all internal assessment and university examination were appropriate and fair	92
8	The contents of each lesson were as per my prospects	89

Year wise Feedback Responses given by the Students for Library

#		Strongly Agreed Responses by the Students			
	Year / Feedback	2014-15 %	2015-16 %	2016-17 %	Average %
1	Availability of Books	81	90	91	90
2	Availability of Journals	82	86	87	85
3	Behavior of the library staff	90	92	93	89
4	Traceability of books and journals	90	93	90	91
5	Working hours of library	90	96	96	94
6	Photostat facility	92	92	94	93
7	Back volume services	88	89	87	88
8	The library ambience	98	100	100	99
9	Seating arrangements	99	99	100	99
10	Books and Journals new arrival display	91	98	98	96
11	Printing facility	85	87	86	86
12	Scanning facility	84	91	87	87

Year wise Feedback Responses given by the Students for Campus Facilities

#		Strongly Agreed Responses by the Students			
	Year / Feedback	2014-15 %	2015-16 %	2016-17 %	Average %
1	Computer/ Wi-Fi facilities	87	85	92	88
2	Hostel facilities	85	85	88	86
3	Sports facilities	90	87	91	89

Dr. D. Y. Patil Biotechnology & Bioinformatics Institute
Year wise Summary Data

Year wise Feedback Responses given by the Students for Curriculum

#	Year / Feedback	Strongly Agreed Responses by the Students				
		2014-15 %	2015-16 %	2016-17 %	2017-18 %	Average %
1	Applicability/relevance to real life situation	87	77	77	83	81
2	Coverage of entire syllabus in the evaluation process	84	85	77	77	81
3	Depth of the subject content	85	77	83	85	83
4	Extent of coverage of subject	82	77	98	82	85
5	Learning value - Analytical abilities	91	83	85	85	86
6	Learning value – Knowledge	83	98	89	83	88
7	Learning value - Practical Skill	79	85	95	93	88
8	Lesson plan (schedule of lectures / Practices / Tutorials) provided at the beginning of academic year/ Semester	88	89	95	88	90
9	Relevance/learning value of project / Report / Seminar/ any other academic assignment	88	93	92	88	90
10	To what extent contents beyond syllabus have been covered	87	95	95	87	91
11	To what extent the evaluation process was fair and unbiased	77	95	90	95	89
12	To what extent transparency of internal Assessment was observed	83	92	77	83	84
13	Use of other innovative teaching methodologies (Problem Based Learning, Integrated teaching, etc)	77	95	77	77	82
14	Usefulness of the program/course in building your career	98	90	85	98	93

Feedback Responses given by Employer, Professionals, Alumni & Staff, Year: *2018-19*

#	Feedback	Strongly Agreed Responses %
1	Course Outcome of the syllabus is well defined and clear	85
2	Curricula developed and implemented have relevance to the local, national, regional and global health care need	90
3	Curriculum Focus on competency/ employability/ entrepreneurship/ skill-development	87
4	Programme outcome of the syllabus is well defined and clear	86
5	Research oriented syllabus	84
6	Syllabus is suitable to the course	86
7	The courses have good balance between theory and practical	84

Feedback Responses given by the Students for Curriculum, Year: *2018-19*

#	Feedback	Strongly Agreed Responses %
1	Content of curricula had depth and extensive coverage	83
2	Curriculum contents Strengthened my skills and knowledge	88
3	Goals and objectives of the respective subject were clearly stated in the curriculum	87
4	I do not face any difficulty in relating the lessons learned in the curriculum	91
5	I have acquired the skill to access electronic databases and apply the information so gathered in the medical education	88
6	Learning activities of respective subjects were matched the objectives	80
7	Methods of evaluation in all internal assessment and university examination were appropriate and fair	83
8	The contents of each lesson were as per my prospects	91

Year wise Feedback Responses given by the Students for Library

#	Year / Feedback	Strongly Agreed Responses by the Students			
		2014-15 %	2015-16 %	2016-17 %	Average %
1	Availability of Books	83	88	89	87
2	Availability of Journals	84	85	88	86
3	Behavior of the library staff	88	86	88	87
5	Traceability of books and journals	88	90	90	89
6	Working hours of library	85	96	92	91
8	Photostat facility	89	88	95	91
9	Back volume services	85	93	90	89
10	The library ambience	94	95	95	95
11	Seating arrangements	92	95	90	92
12	Books and Journals new arrival display	96	92	91	93
13	Printing facility	87	93	88	89
14	Scanning facility	88	90	83	87

Year wise Feedback Responses given by the Students for Campus Facilities

#	Year / Feedback	Strongly Agreed Responses by the Students			
		2014-15 %	2015-16 %	2016-17 %	Average %
1	Computer/ Wi-Fi facilities	85	85	83	84
2	Hostel facilities	89	93	90	91
3	Sports facilities	89	92	89	90

Global Business School & Research Centre
Year wise Summary Data

Year wise Feedback Responses given by the Students for Curriculum

#	Feedback / Year	Strongly Agreed Responses by the Students				
		2014-15 %	2015-16 %	2016-17 %	2017-18 %	Average %
1	Applicability/relevance to real life situation	79	83	90	91	86
2	Coverage of entire syllabus in the evaluation process	86	90	77	77	83
3	Depth of the subject content	86	90	91	86	88
4	Extent of coverage of subject	78	77	92	78	81
5	Learning value - Analytical abilities	86	91	87	87	88
6	Learning value – Knowledge	85	92	87	85	87
7	Learning value - Practical Skill	86	87	97	95	91
8	Lesson plan (schedule of lectures / Practices / Tutorials) provided at the beginning of academic year/ Semester	82	87	97	82	87
9	Relevance/learning value of project / Report / Seminar/ any other academic assignment	90	95	97	90	93
10	To what extent contents beyond syllabus have been covered	85	97	97	85	91
11	To what extent the evaluation process was fair and unbiased	77	97	95	97	92
12	To what extent transparency of internal Assessment was observed	91	97	83	91	91
13	Use of other innovative teaching methodologies (Problem Based Learning, Integrated teaching, etc)	83	97	90	83	88
14	Usefulness of the program/course in building your career	92	95	90	92	92

Feedback Responses given by Employer, Professionals, Alumni & Staff for Curriculum, Year: *2018-19*

#	Feedback	Strongly Agreed Responses %
1	Course Outcome of the syllabus is well defined and clear	83
2	Curricula developed and implemented have relevance to the local, national, regional and global health care need	92
3	Curriculum Focus on competency/ employability/ entrepreneurship/ skill-development	88
4	Programme outcome of the syllabus is well defined and clear	85
5	Research oriented syllabus	84
6	Syllabus is suitable to the course	88
7	The courses have good balance between theory and practical	88

Feedback Responses given by the Students for Curriculum, Year: *2018-19*

#	Feedback	Strongly Agreed Responses %
1	Content of curricula had depth and extensive coverage	82
2	Curriculum contents Strengthened my skills and knowledge	87
3	Goals and objectives of the respective subject were clearly stated in the curriculum	79
4	I do not face any difficulty in relating the lessons learned in the curriculum	86
5	I have acquired the skill to access electronic databases and apply the information so gathered in the medical education	90
6	Learning activities of respective subjects were matched the objectives	83
7	Methods of evaluation in all internal assessment and university examination were appropriate and fair	91

8	The contents of each lesson were as per my prospects	86

Year wise Feedback Responses given by the Students for Library

#		Strongly Agreed Responses by the Students			
	Year / Feedback	2014-15 %	2015-16 %	2016-17 %	Average %
1	Availability of Books	85	83	90	86
2	Availability of Journals	86	90	86	87
3	Behavior of the library staff	90	90	89	90
5	Traceability of books and journals	86	91	87	88
6	Working hours of library	86	90	90	89
8	Photostat facility	90	87	92	90
9	Back volume services	88	95	86	90
10	The library ambience	95	97	97	96
11	Seating arrangements	91	97	95	94
12	Books and Journals new arrival display	91	97	90	93
13	Printing facility	83	97	88	89
14	Scanning facility	82	95	90	89

Year wise Feedback Responses given by the Students for Campus Facilities

#		Strongly Agreed Responses by the Students			
	Year / Feedback	2014-15 %	2015-16 %	2016-17 %	Average %
1	Computer/ Wi-Fi facilities	91	90	91	91
2	Hostel facilities	86	96	88	90
3	Sports facilities	87	95	88	90

Dr. D. Y. Patil College of Physiotherapy
Year wise Summary Data

Year wise Feedback Responses given by the Students for Curriculum

#	Year / Feedback	Strongly Agreed Responses by the Students				
		2014-15 %	2015-16 %	2016-17 %	2017-18 %	Average %
1	Applicability/relevance to real life situation	75	86	89	95	86
2	Coverage of entire syllabus in the evaluation process	83	89	86	86	86
3	Depth of the subject content	76	89	95	76	84
4	Extent of coverage of subject	86	86	92	86	88
5	Learning value - Analytical abilities	86	95	86	86	88
6	Learning value – Knowledge	83	92	76	83	84
7	Learning value - Practical Skill	78	86	98	85	87
8	Lesson plan (schedule of lectures / Practices / Tutorials) provided at the beginning of academic year/ Semester	87	76	98	87	87
9	Relevance/learning value of project / Report / Seminar/ any other academic assignment	93	85	86	93	89
10	To what extent contents beyond syllabus have been covered	85	98	89	85	89
11	To what extent the evaluation process was fair and unbiased	86	98	98	98	95
12	To what extent transparency of internal Assessment was observed	95	86	86	95	91
13	Use of other innovative teaching methodologies (Problem Based Learning, Integrated teaching, etc)	86	89	89	86	88
14	Usefulness of the program/course in building your career	92	98	89	92	93

Feedback Responses given by Employer, Professionals, Alumni & Staff for Curriculum, Year: *2018-19*

#	Feedback	Strongly Agreed Responses %
1	Course Outcome of the syllabus is well defined and clear	85
2	Curricula developed and implemented have relevance to the local, national, regional and global health care need	88
3	Curriculum Focus on competency/ employability/ entrepreneurship/ skill-development	89
4	Programme outcome of the syllabus is well defined and clear	87
5	Research oriented syllabus	86
6	Syllabus is suitable to the course	90
7	The courses have good balance between theory and practical	91

Feedback Responses given by the Students for Curriculum, Year: *2018-19*

#	Feedback	Strongly Agreed Responses %
1	Content of curricula had depth and extensive coverage	85
2	Curriculum contents Strengthened my skills and knowledge	89
3	Goals and objectives of the respective subject were clearly stated in the curriculum	75
4	I do not face any difficulty in relating the lessons learned in the curriculum	86
5	I have acquired the skill to access electronic databases and apply the information so gathered in the medical education	93
6	Learning activities of respective subjects were matched the objectives	86
7	Methods of evaluation in all internal assessment and university examination were appropriate and fair	94
8	The contents of each lesson were as per my prospects	86

Year wise Feedback Responses given by the Students for Library

#	Year / Feedback	Strongly Agreed Responses by the Students			
		2014-15 %	2015-16 %	2016-17 %	Average %
1	Availability of Books	83	86	89	86
2	Availability of Journals	83	84	85	84
3	Behavior of the library staff	93	89	93	92
5	Traceability of books and journals	86	95	88	90
6	Working hours of library	85	90	90	88
8	Photostat facility	90	93	90	91
9	Back volume services	87	85	87	86
10	The library ambience	91	98	90	93
11	Seating arrangements	91	96	97	95
12	Books and Journals new arrival display	91	91	92	91
13	Printing facility	86	87	89	87
14	Scanning facility	87	98	87	91

Year wise Feedback Responses given by the Students for Campus Facilities

#	Year / Feedback	Strongly Agreed Responses by the Students			
		2014-15 %	2015-16 %	2016-17 %	Average %
1	Computer/ Wi-Fi facilities	90	89	93	91
2	Hostel facilities	86	88	86	87
3	Sports facilities	88	85	86	86

Dr. D. Y. Patil Institute of Optometry & Visual Sciences
Year wise Summary Data

Year wise Feedback Responses given by the Students for Curriculum

#		Strongly Agreed Responses by the Students				
	Year / Feedback	2014-15 %	2015-16 %	2016-17 %	2017-18 %	Average %
1	Applicability/relevance to real life situation	85	79	79	85	82
2	Coverage of entire syllabus in the evaluation process	88	79	79	79	81
3	Depth of the subject content	78	79	85	78	80
4	Extent of coverage of subject	80	79	90	80	82
5	Learning value - Analytical abilities	90	85	80	80	84
6	Learning value – Knowledge	80	90	75	80	81
7	Learning value - Practical Skill	84	80	89	76	82
8	Lesson plan (schedule of lectures / Practices / Tutorials) provided at the beginning of academic year/ Semester	86	75	95	86	86
9	Relevance/learning value of project / Report / Seminar/ any other academic assignment	91	76	95	91	88
10	To what extent contents beyond syllabus have been covered	84	89	88	84	86
11	To what extent the evaluation process was fair and unbiased	79	95	96	95	91
12	To what extent transparency of internal Assessment was observed	85	95	79	85	86
13	Use of other innovative teaching methodologies (Problem Based Learning, Integrated teaching, etc)	79	88	79	79	81
14	Usefulness of the program/course in building your career	90	96	79	90	89

Feedback Responses given by Employer, Professionals, Alumni & Staff for Curriculum, Year: *2018-19*

#	Feedback	Strongly Agreed Responses %
1	Course Outcome of the syllabus is well defined and clear	90
2	Curricula developed and implemented have relevance to the local, national, regional and global health care need	90
3	Curriculum Focus on competency/ employability/ entrepreneurship/ skill-development	88
4	Programme outcome of the syllabus is well defined and clear	84
5	Research oriented syllabus	84
6	Syllabus is suitable to the course	86
7	The courses have good balance between theory and practical	85

Feedback Responses given by the Students for Curriculum, Year: *2018-19*

#	Feedback	Strongly Agreed Responses %
1	Content of curricula had depth and extensive coverage	82
2	Curriculum contents Strengthened my skills and knowledge	85
3	Goals and objectives of the respective subject were clearly stated in the curriculum	85
4	I do not face any difficulty in relating the lessons learned in the curriculum	90
5	I have acquired the skill to access electronic databases and apply the information so gathered in the medical education	91
6	Learning activities of respective subjects were matched the objectives	79
7	Methods of evaluation in all internal assessment and university examination were appropriate and fair	85
8	The contents of each lesson were as per my prospects	90

Dr. D. Y. Patil College of Nursing
Year wise Summary Data

Year wise Feedback Responses given by the Students for Curriculum

#	Feedback / Year	Strongly Agreed Responses by the Students 2014-15 %	2015-16 %	2016-17 %	2017-18 %	Average %
1	Applicability/relevance to real life situation	85	81	81	98	86
2	Coverage of entire syllabus in the evaluation process	87	81	81	81	83
3	Depth of the subject content	84	81	98	84	87
4	Extent of coverage of subject	86	81	95	86	87
5	Learning value - Analytical abilities	90	98	77	77	86
6	Learning value – Knowledge	82	95	77	82	84
7	Learning value - Practical Skill	81	77	93	79	83
8	Lesson plan (schedule of lectures / Practices / Tutorials) provided at the beginning of academic year/ Semester	86	77	98	86	87
9	Relevance/learning value of project / Report / Seminar/ any other academic assignment	92	79	80	92	86
10	To what extent contents beyond syllabus have been covered	86	93	94	86	90
11	To what extent the evaluation process was fair and unbiased	81	98	94	98	93
12	To what extent transparency of internal Assessment was observed	98	80	81	98	89
13	Use of other innovative teaching methodologies (Problem Based Learning, Integrated teaching, etc)	81	94	81	81	84
14	Usefulness of the program/course in building your career	95	94	81	95	91

Feedback Responses given by Employer, Professionals, Alumni & Staff for Curriculum, Year: *2018-19*

#	Feedback	Strongly Agreed Responses %
1	Course Outcome of the syllabus is well defined and clear	83
2	Curricula developed and implemented have relevance to the local, national, regional and global health care need	83
3	Curriculum Focus on competency/ employability/ entrepreneurship/ skill-development	91
4	Programme outcome of the syllabus is well defined and clear	84
5	Research oriented syllabus	84
6	Syllabus is suitable to the course	90
7	The courses have good balance between theory and practical	88

Feedback Responses given by the Students for Curriculum, Year: *2018-19*

#	Feedback	Strongly Agreed Responses %
1	Content of curricula had depth and extensive coverage	81
2	Curriculum contents Strengthened my skills and knowledge	85
3	Goals and objectives of the respective subject were clearly stated in the curriculum	84
4	I do not face any difficulty in relating the lessons learned in the curriculum	90
5	I have acquired the skill to access electronic databases and apply the information so gathered in the medical education	91
6	Learning activities of respective subjects were matched the objectives	81
7	Methods of evaluation in all internal assessment and university examination were appropriate and fair	98
8	The contents of each lesson were as per my prospects	90

Year wise Feedback Responses given by the Students for Library

#	Year / Feedback	Strongly Agreed Responses by the Students			
		2014-15 %	2015-16 %	2016-17 %	Average %
1	Availability of Books	82	81	87	83
2	Availability of Journals	87	81	87	85
3	Behavior of the library staff	92	81	90	88
5	Traceability of books and journals	87	90	88	88
6	Working hours of library	84	95	91	90
8	Photostat facility	91	90	91	91
9	Back volume services	90	88	88	89
10	The library ambience	93	93	94	93
11	Seating arrangements	93	98	93	95
12	Books and Journals new arrival display	95	93	93	94
13	Printing facility	81	92	84	86
14	Scanning facility	85	92	81	86

Year wise Feedback Responses given by the Students for Campus Facilities

#	Year / Feedback	Strongly Agreed Responses by the Students			
		2014-15 %	2015-16 %	2016-17 %	Average %
1	Computer/ Wi-Fi facilities	90	86	97	91
2	Hostel facilities	87	93	81	87
3	Sports facilities	86	79	85	83

Dr. D. Y. Patil Homoeopathic Medical College & Research Centre
Year wise Summary Data

Feedback Responses given by Employer, Professionals, Alumni & Staff for Curriculum, Year: *2018-19*

#	Feedback	Strongly Agreed Responses %
1	Course Outcome of the syllabus is well defined and clear	83
2	Curricula developed and implemented have relevance to the local, national, regional and global health care need	86
3	Curriculum Focus on competency/ employability/ entrepreneurship/ skill-development	87
4	Programme outcome of the syllabus is well defined and clear	86
5	Research oriented syllabus	84
6	Syllabus is suitable to the course	86
7	The courses have good balance between theory and practical	86

Feedback Responses given by the Students for Curriculum, Year: *2018-19*

#	Feedback	Strongly Agreed Responses %
1	Content of curricula had depth and extensive coverage	81
2	Curriculum contents Strengthened my skills and knowledge	86
3	Goals and objectives of the respective subject were clearly stated in the curriculum	81
4	I do not face any difficulty in relating the lessons learned in the curriculum	89
5	I have acquired the skill to access electronic databases and apply the information so gathered in the medical education	89
6	Learning activities of respective subjects were matched the objectives	80
7	Methods of evaluation in all internal assessment and university examination were appropriate and fair	88
8	The contents of each lesson were as per my prospects	87

Dr. D. Y. Patil College of Ayurved & Research Centre
Year wise Summary Data

Feedback Responses given by Employer, Professionals, Alumni & Staff for Curriculum, Year: *2018-19*

#	Feedback	Strongly Agreed Responses %
1	Course Outcome of the syllabus is well defined and clear	85
2	Curricula developed and implemented have relevance to the local, national, regional and global health care need	86
3	Curriculum Focus on competency/ employability/ entrepreneurship/ skill-development	88
4	Programme outcome of the syllabus is well defined and clear	87
5	Research oriented syllabus	84
6	Syllabus is suitable to the course	88
7	The courses have good balance between theory and practical	87

Feedback Responses given by the Students for Curriculum, Year: *2018-19*

#	Feedback	Strongly Agreed Responses %
1	Content of curricula had depth and extensive coverage	83
2	Curriculum contents Strengthened my skills and knowledge	88
3	Goals and objectives of the respective subject were clearly stated in the curriculum	83
4	I do not face any difficulty in relating the lessons learned in the curriculum	87
5	I have acquired the skill to access electronic databases and apply the information so gathered in the medical education	92
6	Learning activities of respective subjects were matched the objectives	82
7	Methods of evaluation in all internal assessment and university examination were appropriate and fair	90
8	The contents of each lesson were as per my prospects	89

Institute wise Feedback Data

2014-15

SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

1. Applicability/relevance to real life situation

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	80	13	7	0	0
Dental	83	10	7	0	0
GBSRC	79	16	4	1	0
Nursing	85	15	0	0	0
Biotech	87	3	10	0	0
Physiotherapy	75	16	9	0	0
Optometry	85	14	1	0	0
Average	**82**	**12**	**5**	**1**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

2. Coverage of entire syllabus in the evaluation process

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	85	7	8	0	0
Dental	82	11	7	0	0
GBSRC	86	9	5	0	0
Nursing	87	9	4	0	0
Biotech	84	11	4	1	0
Physiotherapy	83	11	6	0	0
Optometry	88	8	3	1	0
Average	**86**	**9**	**5**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

3. Depth of the subject content

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	75	16	9	0	0
Dental	83	11	6	0	0
GBSRC	86	12	2	0	0
Nursing	84	11	4	1	0
Biotech	85	9	6	0	0
Physiotherapy	76	17	7	0	0
Optometry	78	10	12	0	0
Average	**81**	**12**	**7**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

4. Extent of coverage of subject

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	83	12	5	0	0
Dental	84	11	5	0	0
GBSRC	78	15	7	0	0
Nursing	86	8	5	1	0
Biotech	82	13	5	0	0
Physiotherapy	86	6	6	1	1
Optometry	80	14	6	0	0
Average	**83**	**11**	**6**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

5. Learning value - Analytical abilities

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	81	13	5	1	0
Dental	89	6	5	0	0
GBSRC	86	11	3	0	0
Nursing	90	6	3	0	1
Biotech	91	4	4	1	0
Physiotherapy	86	9	5	0	0
Optometry	90	4	6	0	0
Average	**88**	**8**	**4**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

6. Learning value - Knowledge

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	88	10	2	0	0
Dental	81	11	8	0	0
GBSRC	85	14	1	0	0
Nursing	82	12	5	1	0
Biotech	83	14	3	0	0
Physiotherapy	83	12	5	0	0
Optometry	80	15	5	0	0
Average	**83**	**13**	**4**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

7. Learning value - Practical Skill

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	79	14	7	0	0
Dental	80	11	9	0	0
GBSRC	86	6	8	0	0
Nursing	81	13	5	0	1
Biotech	79	14	7	0	0
Physiotherapy	78	10	12	0	0
Optometry	84	9	7	0	0
Average	**82**	**10**	**8**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

8. Lesson plan (schedule of lectures / Practices / Tutorials) provided at the beginning of academic year/ Semester

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	84	9	7	0	0
Dental	85	11	4	0	0
GBSRC	82	12	6	0	0
Nursing	86	8	6	0	0
Biotech	88	4	8	0	0
Physiotherapy	87	11	1	0	1
Optometry	86	11	3	0	0
Average	**86**	**9**	**5**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

9. Relevance/learning value of project / Report / Seminar/ any other academic assignment

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	90	7	3	0	0
Dental	91	8	1	0	0
GBSRC	90	6	4	0	0
Nursing	92	6	2	0	0
Biotech	88	9	3	0	0
Physiotherapy	93	5	2	0	0
Optometry	91	4	4	1	0
Average	**91**	**6**	**3**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

10. To what extent contents beyond syllabus have been covered

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	81	12	7	0	0
Dental	82	13	5	0	0
GBSRC	85	8	7	0	0
Nursing	86	11	2	0	1
Biotech	87	7	5	1	0
Physiotherapy	85	11	4	0	0
Optometry	84	10	6	0	0
Average	**85**	**10**	**5**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

11. To what extent the evaluation process was fair and unbiased

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	80	20	0	0	0
Dental	85	15	0	0	0
GBSRC	77	18	5	0	0
Nursing	81	17	0	2	0
Biotech	77	20	3	0	0
Physiotherapy	86	8	5	1	0
Optometry	79	15	6	0	0
Average	**79**	**17**	**4**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

12. To what extent transparency of internal Assessment was observed

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	81	19	0	0	0
Dental	93	7	0	0	0
GBSRC	91	8	1	0	0
Nursing	98	2	0	0	0
Biotech	83	14	3	0	0
Physiotherapy	95	5	0	0	0
Optometry	85	15	0	0	0
Average	**89**	**10**	**1**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

13. Use of other innovative teaching methodologies (Problem Based Learning, Integrated teaching, etc)

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	80	20	0	0	0
Dental	85	10	5	0	0
GBSRC	83	17	0	0	0
Nursing	81	0	19	0	0
Biotech	77	23	0	0	0
Physiotherapy	86	14	0	0	0
Optometry	79	21	0	0	0
Average	**82**	**15**	**3**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

14. Usefulness of the program/course in building your career

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	96	4	0	0	0
Dental	91	5	4	0	0
GBSRC	92	8	0	0	0
Nursing	95	3	2	0	0
Biotech	98	2	0	0	0
Physiotherapy	92	5	3	0	0
Optometry	90	7	3	0	0
Average	**93**	**5**	**2**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Library

1. Availability of Books

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	88	10	2	0	0
Dental	81	11	6	2	0
GBSRC	85	14	1	0	0
Nursing	82	12	5	1	0
Biotech	83	14	3	0	0
Physiotherapy	83	12	4	1	0
Average	**83**	**13**	**3**	**1**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Library

2. Availability of Journals

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	85	7	8	0	0
Dental	82	11	7	0	0
GBSRC	86	9	5	0	0
Nursing	87	9	4	0	0
Biotech	84	11	4	1	0
Physiotherapy	83	11	6	0	0
Average	**86**	**9**	**5**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Library

3. Behavior of the library staff

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	90	6	3	1	0
Dental	90	7	1	2	0
GBSRC	90	5	4	1	0
Nursing	92	6	2	0	0
Biotech	88	7	3	2	0
Physiotherapy	93	5	2	0	0
Average	**90**	**5**	**3**	**2**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Library

4. Traceability of books and journals

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	91	5	3	1	0
Dental	90	6	4	0	0
GBSRC	86	8	3	3	0
Nursing	87	9	3	0	1
Biotech	88	6	5	1	0
Physiotherapy	86	9	5	0	0
Average	**88**	**7**	**4**	**1**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Library

5. Working hours of library

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	96	3	1	0	0
Dental	90	6	3	1	0
GBSRC	86	12	2	0	0
Nursing	84	11	4	1	0
Biotech	85	9	6	0	0
Physiotherapy	85	11	4	0	0
Average	**88**	**9**	**3**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree

SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Library

6. Photostat facility

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	93	4	3	0	0
Dental	92	4	3	1	0
GBSRC	90	5	5	0	0
Nursing	91	6	3	0	0
Biotech	89	7	4	0	0
Physiotherapy	90	4	3	2	1
Average	**90**	**5**	**4**	**1**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Library

7. Back volume services

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	90	5	5	0	0
Dental	88	6	5	1	0
GBSRC	88	8	1	3	0
Nursing	90	6	4	0	0
Biotech	85	11	3	1	0
Physiotherapy	87	9	4	0	0
Average	**88**	**7**	**4**	**1**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Library

8. The library ambience

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	100	0	0	0	0
Dental	98	2	0	0	0
GBSRC	95	3	2	0	0
Nursing	93	4	2	0	1
Biotech	94	4	2	0	0
Physiotherapy	91	3	3	2	1
Average	**95**	**3**	**2**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Library

9. Seating arrangements

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	100	0	0	0	0
Dental	99	1	0	0	0
GBSRC	91	5	3	1	0
Nursing	93	4	2	0	1
Biotech	92	4	4	0	0
Physiotherapy	91	3	3	2	1
Average	**94**	**3**	**2**	**1**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Library

10. Books and Journals new arrival display

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	98	2	0	0	0
Dental	91	5	4	0	0
GBSRC	91	8	0	1	0
Nursing	95	3	2	0	0
Biotech	96	2	2	0	0
Physiotherapy	91	4	3	2	0
Average	**93**	**4**	**2**	**1**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Library

11. Printing facility

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	88	8	4	0	0
Dental	85	10	5	0	0
GBSRC	83	17	0	0	0
Nursing	81	0	19	0	0
Biotech	87	9	4	0	0
Physiotherapy	86	14	0	0	0
Average	**85**	**10**	**5**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Library

12. Scanning facility

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	84	9	7	0	0
Dental	84	11	4	1	0
GBSRC	82	12	6	0	0
Nursing	85	8	6	1	0
Biotech	88	4	8	0	0
Physiotherapy	87	11	1	0	1
Average	**85**	**9**	**5**	**1**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Campus Facilities

1. Computer/ Wi-Fi facilities

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	86	9	5	0	0
Dental	87	7	5	1	0
GBSRC	91	8	1	0	0
Nursing	90	5	4	1	0
Biotech	85	14	1	0	0
Physiotherapy	90	4	3	2	1
Optometry	88	12	0	0	0
Average	**88**	**8**	**3**	**1**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Campus Facilities

2. Hostel facilities

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	90	5	4	1	0
Dental	85	14	1	0	0
GBSRC	86	8	5	1	0
Nursing	87	8	4	0	1
Biotech	89	7	3	1	0
Physiotherapy	86	8	5	1	0
Optometry	90	7	3	0	0
Average	**87**	**8**	**4**	**1**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Campus Facilities

3. Sports facilities

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	85	10	5	0	0
Dental	90	4	5	1	0
GBSRC	87	8	4	1	0
Nursing	86	9	5	0	0
Biotech	89	7	4	0	0
Physiotherapy	88	8	3	1	0
Optometry	90	5	5	0	0
Average	**88**	**7**	**4**	**1**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



2015-16

SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

1. Applicability/relevance to real life situation

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	80	20	0	0	0
Dental	85	10	5	0	0
GBSRC	83	17	0	0	0
Nursing	81	0	19	0	0
Biotech	77	23	0	0	0
Physiotherapy	86	14	0	0	0
Optometry	79	21	0	0	0
Average	**82**	**15**	**3**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

2. Coverage of entire syllabus in the evaluation process

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	80	20	0	0	0
Dental	85	10	5	0	0
GBSRC	90	10	0	0	0
Nursing	81	0	19	0	0
Biotech	85	10	5	0	0
Physiotherapy	89	11	0	0	0
Optometry	79	21	0	0	0
Average	**84**	**12**	**4**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

3. Depth of the subject content

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	80	20	0	0	0
Dental	85	15	0	0	0
GBSRC	90	0	10	0	0
Nursing	81	19	0	0	0
Biotech	77	18	5	0	0
Physiotherapy	89	11	0	0	0
Optometry	79	18	3	0	0
Average	**83**	**14**	**3**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

4. Extent of coverage of subject

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	80	20	0	0	0
Dental	85	15	0	0	0
GBSRC	77	18	5	0	0
Nursing	81	17	0	2	0
Biotech	77	20	3	0	0
Physiotherapy	86	8	5	1	0
Optometry	79	15	6	0	0
Average	**79**	**17**	**4**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

5. Learning value - Analytical abilities

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	81	19	0	0	0
Dental	93	7	0	0	0
GBSRC	91	8	1	0	0
Nursing	98	2	0	0	0
Biotech	83	14	3	0	0
Physiotherapy	95	5	0	0	0
Optometry	85	15	0	0	0
Average	**89**	**10**	**1**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

6. Learning value – Knowledge

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	96	4	0	0	0
Dental	91	5	4	0	0
GBSRC	92	8	0	0	0
Nursing	95	3	2	0	0
Biotech	98	2	0	0	0
Physiotherapy	92	5	3	0	0
Optometry	90	7	3	0	0
Average	**93**	**5**	**2**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

7. Learning value - Practical Skill

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	90	10	0	0	0
Dental	89	9	2	0	0
GBSRC	87	3	10	0	0
Nursing	77	13	9	1	0
Biotech	85	15	0	0	0
Physiotherapy	86	9	5	0	0
Optometry	80	14	6	0	0
Average	**85**	**10**	**5**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

8. Lesson plan (schedule of lectures / Practices / Tutorials) provided at the beginning of academic year/ Semester

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	90	10	0	0	0
Dental	75	17	8	0	0
GBSRC	87	8	5	0	0
Nursing	77	13	9	1	0
Biotech	89	11	0	0	0
Physiotherapy	76	17	7	0	0
Optometry	75	18	7	0	0
Average	**82**	**13**	**5**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

9. Relevance/learning value of project / Report / Seminar/ any other academic assignment

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	**81**	**19**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Dental	**90**	5	5	0	0
GBSRC	**95**	5	0	0	0
Nursing	**79**	17	4	0	0
Biotech	**93**	5	2	0	0
Physiotherapy	**85**	12	3	0	0
Optometry	**76**	**19**	**5**	**0**	**0**
Average	**85**	**12**	**3**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

10. To what extent contents beyond syllabus have been covered

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	91	7	2	0	0
Dental	87	10	3	0	0
GBSRC	97	2	1	0	0
Nursing	93	4	3	0	0
Biotech	95	4	1	0	0
Physiotherapy	98	2	0	0	0
Optometry	89	11	0	0	0
Average	**93**	**6**	**1**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

11. To what extent the evaluation process was fair and unbiased

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	92	7	1	0	0
Dental	87	10	3	0	0
GBSRC	97	1	2	0	0
Nursing	98	2	0	0	0
Biotech	95	4	1	0	0
Physiotherapy	98	2	0	0	0
Optometry	95	5	0	0	0
Average	**95**	**4**	**1**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

12. To what extent transparency of internal Assessment was observed

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	88	11	1	0	0
Dental	87	5	8	0	0
GBSRC	97	1	2	0	0
Nursing	80	14	6	0	0
Biotech	92	5	3	0	0
Physiotherapy	86	11	3	0	0
Optometry	95	5	0	0	0
Average	**88**	**8**	**4**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

13. Use of other innovative teaching methodologies (Problem Based Learning, Integrated teaching, etc.)

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	**87**	**12**	**1**	**0**	**0**
Dental	**88**	5	7	0	0
GBSRC	**97**	3	0	0	0
Nursing	**94**	5	1	0	0
Biotech	**95**	2	3	0	0
Physiotherapy	**89**	8	3	0	0
Optometry	**88**	**7**	**5**	**0**	**0**
Average	**91**	**6**	**3**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

14. Usefullness of the program/course in building your career

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	91	8	1	0	0
Dental	88	5	7	0	0
GBSRC	95	5	0	0	0
Nursing	94	5	1	0	0
Biotech	90	7	3	0	0
Physiotherapy	98	2	0	0	0
Optometry	96	4	0	0	0
Average	**93**	**5**	**2**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Library

1. Availability of Books

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	90	6	4	0	0
Dental	88	8	3	0	1
GBSRC	83	17	0	0	0
Nursing	81	0	16	2	1
Biotech	88	8	3	0	1
Physiotherapy	86	12	1	1	0
Average	**85**	**9**	**5**	**1**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Library

2. Availability of Journals

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	86	14	0	0	0
Dental	85	10	5	0	0
GBSRC	90	10	0	0	0
Nursing	81	0	17	2	0
Biotech	85	10	5	0	0
Physiotherapy	84	8	6	2	0
Average	**84**	**9**	**6**	**1**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Library

3. Behavior of the library staff

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	92	6	1	1	0
Dental	90	7	3	0	0
GBSRC	90	0	8	1	1
Nursing	81	19	0	0	0
Biotech	86	8	4	1	1
Physiotherapy	89	11	0	0	0
Average	**87**	**9**	**3**	**1**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Library

4. Traceability of books and journals

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	93	6	1	0	0
Dental	93	7	0	0	0
GBSRC	91	8	1	0	0
Nursing	90	5	3	2	0
Biotech	90	4	5	1	0
Physiotherapy	95	5	0	0	0
Average	**91**	**6**	**2**	**1**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Library

5. Working hours of library

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	96	4	0	0	0
Dental	91	5	4	0	0
GBSRC	90	8	0	1	1
Nursing	95	3	2	0	0
Biotech	96	2	0	0	2
Physiotherapy	90	5	3	2	0
Average	**91**	**5**	**2**	**1**	**1**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Library

6. Photostat facility

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	92	6	0	2	0
Dental	91	8	1	0	0
GBSRC	87	8	5	0	0
Nursing	90	6	4	0	0
Biotech	88	11	0	0	1
Physiotherapy	93	4	3	0	0
Average	**91**	**7**	**2**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Library

7. Back volume services

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	89	8	3	0	0
Dental	90	5	4	1	0
GBSRC	95	5	0	0	0
Nursing	88	9	2	0	1
Biotech	93	5	2	0	0
Physiotherapy	85	12	1	0	2
Average	**90**	**7**	**2**	**0**	**1**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Library

8. The library ambience

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	100	0	0	0	0
Dental	99	1	0	0	0
GBSRC	97	2	1	0	0
Nursing	93	4	3	0	0
Biotech	95	4	1	0	0
Physiotherapy	98	2	0	0	0
Average	**97**	**2**	**1**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree

SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Library

9. Seating arrangements

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	99	1	0	0	0
Dental	99	0	1	0	0
GBSRC	97	1	2	0	0
Nursing	98	2	0	0	0
Biotech	95	4	1	0	0
Physiotherapy	96	2	0	2	0
Average	**97**	**2**	**1**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Library

10. Books and Journals new arrival display

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	98	1	1	0	0
Dental	94	4	2	0	0
GBSRC	97	1	2	0	0
Nursing	93	4	3	0	0
Biotech	92	5	3	0	0
Physiotherapy	91	5	2	0	2
Average	**94**	**3**	**2**	**0**	**1**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Library

11. Printing facility

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	87	12	1	0	0
Dental	87	5	7	1	0
GBSRC	97	3	0	0	0
Nursing	92	5	1	2	0
Biotech	93	2	3	2	0
Physiotherapy	87	8	3	2	0
Average	**89**	**6**	**3**	**2**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Library

12. Scanning facility

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	91	8	1	0	0
Dental	87	4	7	1	1
GBSRC	95	5	0	0	0
Nursing	92	4	1	1	2
Biotech	90	6	3	1	0
Physiotherapy	98	1	0	1	0
Average	**92**	**4**	**2**	**1**	**1**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Campus Facilities

1. Computer/ Wi-Fi facilities

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	88	8	4	0	0
Dental	85	10	5	0	0
GBSRC	90	8	0	2	0
Nursing	86	9	5	0	0
Biotech	85	9	4	1	1
Physiotherapy	89	10	0	1	0
Optometry	86	9	4	1	0
Average	**87**	**9**	**3**	**1**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Campus Facilities

2. Hostel facilities

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	87	12	1	0	0
Dental	85	5	7	1	1
GBSRC	96	3	0	1	0
Nursing	93	5	1	0	1
Biotech	93	2	3	2	0
Physiotherapy	88	8	3	0	1
Optometry	86	7	5	2	0
Average	**90**	**6**	**3**	**1**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Campus Facilities

3. Sports facilities

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	88	11	1	0	0
Dental	87	5	8	0	0
GBSRC	95	1	2	2	0
Nursing	79	14	6	1	0
Biotech	92	5	3	0	0
Physiotherapy	85	11	3	1	0
Optometry	93	5	0	0	2
Average	**87**	**8**	**4**	**1**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



2016-17

SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

1. Applicability/relevance to real life situation

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	80	20	0	0	0
Dental	85	15	0	0	0
GBSRC	90	0	10	0	0
Nursing	81	19	0	0	0
Biotech	77	18	5	0	0
Physiotherapy	89	11	0	0	0
Optometry	79	18	3	0	0
Average	**81**	**14**	**5**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

2. Coverage of entire syllabus in the evaluation process

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	80	20	0	0	0
Dental	85	15	0	0	0
GBSRC	77	18	5	0	0
Nursing	81	17	0	2	0
Biotech	77	20	3	0	0
Physiotherapy	86	8	5	1	0
Optometry	79	15	6	0	0
Average	**79**	**17**	**4**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

3. Depth of the subject content

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	81	19	0	0	0
Dental	93	7	0	0	0
GBSRC	91	8	1	0	0
Nursing	98	2	0	0	0
Biotech	83	14	3	0	0
Physiotherapy	95	5	0	0	0
Optometry	85	15	0	0	0
Average	**89**	**10**	**1**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

4. Extent of coverage of subject

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	96	4	0	0	0
Dental	91	5	4	0	0
GBSRC	92	8	0	0	0
Nursing	95	3	2	0	0
Biotech	98	2	0	0	0
Physiotherapy	92	5	3	0	0
Optometry	90	7	3	0	0
Average	**93**	**5**	**2**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

5. Learning value - Analytical abilities

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	90	10	0	0	0
Dental	89	9	2	0	0
GBSRC	87	3	10	0	0
Nursing	77	13	9	1	0
Biotech	85	15	0	0	0
Physiotherapy	86	9	5	0	0
Optometry	80	14	6	0	0
Average	**85**	**10**	**5**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

6. Learning value - Knowledge

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	90	10	0	0	0
Dental	75	17	8	0	0
GBSRC	87	8	5	0	0
Nursing	77	13	9	1	0
Biotech	89	11	0	0	0
Physiotherapy	76	17	7	0	0
Optometry	75	18	7	0	0
Average	**82**	**13**	**5**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

7. Learning value - Practical Skill

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	91	7	2	0	0
Dental	87	10	3	0	0
GBSRC	97	2	1	0	0
Nursing	93	4	3	0	0
Biotech	95	4	1	0	0
Physiotherapy	98	2	0	0	0
Optometry	89	11	0	0	0
Average	**93**	**6**	**1**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

8. Lesson plan (schedule of lectures / Practices / Tutorials) provided at the beginning of academic year/ Semester

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	92	7	1	0	0
Dental	87	10	3	0	0
GBSRC	97	1	2	0	0
Nursing	98	2	0	0	0
Biotech	95	4	1	0	0
Physiotherapy	98	2	0	0	0
Optometry	95	5	0	0	0
Average	**95**	**4**	**1**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

9. Relevance/learning value of project / Report / Seminar/ any other academic assignment

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	88	11	1	0	0
Dental	87	5	8	0	0
GBSRC	97	1	2	0	0
Nursing	80	14	6	0	0
Biotech	92	5	3	0	0
Physiotherapy	86	11	3	0	0
Optometry	95	5	0	0	0
Average	**88**	**8**	**4**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

10. To what extent contents beyond syllabus have been covered

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	**87**	**12**	**1**	**0**	**0**
Dental	**88**	5	7	0	0
GBSRC	**97**	3	0	0	0
Nursing	**94**	5	1	0	0
Biotech	**95**	2	3	0	0
Physiotherapy	**89**	8	3	0	0
Optometry	**88**	**7**	**5**	**0**	**0**
Average	**91**	**6**	**3**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

11. To what extent the evaluation process was fair and unbiased

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	91	8	1	0	0
Dental	88	5	7	0	0
GBSRC	95	5	0	0	0
Nursing	94	5	1	0	0
Biotech	90	7	3	0	0
Physiotherapy	98	2	0	0	0
Optometry	96	4	0	0	0
Average	**93**	**5**	**2**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

12. To what extent transparency of internal Assessment was observed

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	80	20	0	0	0
Dental	85	10	5	0	0
GBSRC	83	17	0	0	0
Nursing	81	0	19	0	0
Biotech	77	23	0	0	0
Physiotherapy	86	14	0	0	0
Optometry	79	21	0	0	0
Average	**82**	**15**	**3**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

13. Use of other innovative teaching methodologies (Problem Based Learning, Integrated teaching, etc)

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	80	20	0	0	0
Dental	85	15	0	0	0
GBSRC	90	0	10	0	0
Nursing	81	19	0	0	0
Biotech	77	18	5	0	0
Physiotherapy	89	11	0	0	0
Optometry	79	18	3	0	0
Average	**83**	**14**	**3**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

14. Usefullness of the program/course in building your career

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	80	20	0	0	0
Dental	85	10	5	0	0
GBSRC	90	10	0	0	0
Nursing	81	0	19	0	0
Biotech	85	10	5	0	0
Physiotherapy	89	11	0	0	0
Optometry	79	21	0	0	0
Average	**84**	**12**	**4**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Library

1. Availability of Books

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	91	4	4	1	0
Dental	88	7	3	2	0
GBSRC	90	0	10	0	0
Nursing	87	9	3	1	0
Biotech	89	5	4	1	1
Physiotherapy	89	10	0	1	0
Average	**89**	**6**	**4**	**1**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree

SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Library
2.	Availability of Journals

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	87	9	4	0	0
Dental	88	11	0	0	1
GBSRC	86	8	5	1	0
Nursing	87	8	3	2	0
Biotech	88	5	5	2	0
Physiotherapy	85	9	5	1	0
Average	**87**	**8**	**4**	**1**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Library
3.	Behavior of the library staff

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	93	7	0	0	0
Dental	92	7	0	1	1
GBSRC	89	8	1	2	0
Nursing	90	5	4	1	0
Biotech	88	9	3	0	0
Physiotherapy	93	5	0	2	0
Average	**91**	**7**	**1**	**1**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Library
4.	Traceability of books and journals

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	90	8	0	2	0
Dental	89	8	2	1	0
GBSRC	87	3	10	0	0
Nursing	88	8	2	1	1
Biotech	90	5	5	0	0
Physiotherapy	88	9	3	0	0
Average	**89**	**6**	**4**	**1**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Library
5.	Working hours of library

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	96	3	1	0	0
Dental	93	4	3	0	0
GBSRC	90	8	2	0	0
Nursing	91	4	3	1	1
Biotech	92	5	1	2	0
Physiotherapy	90	5	3	2	0
Average	**92**	**5**	**2**	**1**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Library
6.	Photostat facility

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	94	3	2	1	0
Dental	90	9	1	0	0
GBSRC	92	4	2	1	1
Nursing	91	6	2	1	0
Biotech	95	3	1	0	1
Physiotherapy	90	5	3	2	0
Average	**92**	**5**	**2**	**1**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Library
7.	Back volume services

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	87	11	1	1	0
Dental	85	5	8	1	1
GBSRC	86	8	5	1	0
Nursing	88	11	0	1	0
Biotech	90	5	5	0	0
Physiotherapy	87	9	3	1	0
Average	**87**	**8**	**4**	**1**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Library
8.	The library ambience

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	100	0	0	0	0
Dental	97	2	1	0	0
GBSRC	97	3	0	0	0
Nursing	94	5	1	0	0
Biotech	95	2	3	0	0
Physiotherapy	90	5	4	1	0
Average	**96**	**3**	**1**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Library
9.	Seating arrangements

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	100	0	0	0	0
Dental	99	1	0	0	0
GBSRC	95	4	0	1	0
Nursing	93	5	1	0	1
Biotech	90	4	3	3	0
Physiotherapy	97	2	0	0	1
Average	**95**	**3**	**1**	**1**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Library
10.	Books and Journals new arrival display

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	98	1	1	0	0
Dental	96	2	1	0	1
GBSRC	90	5	4	1	0
Nursing	93	3	3	1	0
Biotech	91	7	0	1	1
Physiotherapy	92	4	3	0	1
Average	**93**	**3**	**2**	**1**	**1**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Library
11.	Printing facility

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	86	11	3	0	0
Dental	83	15	0	1	1
GBSRC	88	0	9	2	1
Nursing	84	10	6	0	0
Biotech	88	5	5	2	0
Physiotherapy	89	7	3	0	1
Average	**88**	**6**	**4**	**1**	**1**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Library
12.	Scanning facility

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	87	8	5	0	0
Dental	85	10	5	0	0
GBSRC	90	9	0	0	1
Nursing	81	0	19	0	0
Biotech	83	9	5	2	1
Physiotherapy	87	10	0	2	1
Average	**85**	**7**	**6**	**1**	**1**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Campus Facilities
1.	Computer/ Wi-Fi facilities

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	81	19	0	0	0
Dental	92	7	0	1	0
GBSRC	91	8	1	0	0
Nursing	97	2	0	1	0
Biotech	83	14	3	0	0
Physiotherapy	93	4	0	2	1
Optometry	84	15	0	1	0
Average	**88**	**10**	**1**	**1**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Campus Facilities

2. Hostel facilities

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	89	7	3	0	1
Dental	88	6	4	2	0
GBSRC	88	7	5	0	0
Nursing	81	17	0	2	0
Biotech	90	7	3	0	0
Physiotherapy	86	8	5	1	0
Optometry	87	8	4	0	1
Average	**87**	**9**	**3**	**1**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Campus Facilities

3. Sports facilities

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	90	5	5	0	0
Dental	91	4	4	1	0
GBSRC	88	8	3	1	0
Nursing	85	8	7	0	0
Biotech	89	7	4	0	0
Physiotherapy	86	14	0	0	0
Optometry	88	7	4	0	1
Average	**88**	**8**	**4**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



2017-18

SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

1. Applicability/relevance to real life situation

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	81	19	0	0	0
Dental	93	7	0	0	0
GBSRC	91	8	1	0	0
Nursing	98	2	0	0	0
Biotech	83	14	3	0	0
Physiotherapy	95	5	0	0	0
Optometry	85	15	0	0	0
Average	**89**	**10**	**1**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

2. Coverage of entire syllabus in the evaluation process

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	80	20	0	0	0
Dental	85	15	0	0	0
GBSRC	77	18	5	0	0
Nursing	81	17	0	2	0
Biotech	77	20	3	0	0
Physiotherapy	86	8	5	1	0
Optometry	79	15	6	0	0
Average	**79**	**17**	**4**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

3. Depth of the subject content

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	75	16	9	0	0
Dental	83	11	6	0	0
GBSRC	86	12	2	0	0
Nursing	84	11	4	1	0
Biotech	85	9	6	0	0
Physiotherapy	76	17	7	0	0
Optometry	78	10	12	0	0
Average	**81**	**12**	**7**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

4. Extent of coverage of subject

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	83	12	5	0	0
Dental	84	11	5	0	0
GBSRC	78	15	7	0	0
Nursing	86	8	5	1	0
Biotech	82	13	5	0	0
Physiotherapy	86	6	6	1	1
Optometry	80	14	6	0	0
Average	**83**	**11**	**6**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

5. Learning value - Analytical abilities

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	90	10	0	0	0
Dental	89	9	2	0	0
GBSRC	87	3	10	0	0
Nursing	77	13	9	1	0
Biotech	85	15	0	0	0
Physiotherapy	86	9	5	0	0
Optometry	80	14	6	0	0
Average	**85**	**10**	**5**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

6. Learning value - Knowledge

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	88	10	2	0	0
Dental	81	11	8	0	0
GBSRC	85	14	1	0	0
Nursing	82	12	5	1	0
Biotech	83	14	3	0	0
Physiotherapy	83	12	5	0	0
Optometry	80	15	5	0	0
Average	**83**	**13**	**4**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

7. Learning value - Practical Skill

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	**81**	**19**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Dental	**90**	5	5	0	0
GBSRC	**95**	5	0	0	0
Nursing	**79**	17	4	0	0
Biotech	**93**	5	2	0	0
Physiotherapy	**85**	12	3	0	0
Optometry	**76**	**19**	**5**	**0**	**0**
Average	**85**	**12**	**3**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

8. Lesson plan (schedule of lectures / Practices / Tutorials) provided at the beginning of academic year/ Semester

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	84	9	7	0	0
Dental	85	11	4	0	0
GBSRC	82	12	6	0	0
Nursing	86	8	6	0	0
Biotech	88	4	8	0	0
Physiotherapy	87	11	1	0	1
Optometry	86	11	3	0	0
Average	**86**	**9**	**5**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

9. Relevance/learning value of project / Report / Seminar/ any other academic assignment

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	90	7	3	0	0
Dental	91	8	1	0	0
GBSRC	90	6	4	0	0
Nursing	92	6	2	0	0
Biotech	88	9	3	0	0
Physiotherapy	93	5	2	0	0
Optometry	91	4	4	1	0
Average	**91**	**6**	**3**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

10. To what extent contents beyond syllabus have been covered

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	81	12	7	0	0
Dental	82	13	5	0	0
GBSRC	85	8	7	0	0
Nursing	86	11	2	0	1
Biotech	87	7	5	1	0
Physiotherapy	85	11	4	0	0
Optometry	84	10	6	0	0
Average	**85**	**10**	**5**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

11. To what extent the evaluation process was fair and unbiased

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	92	7	1	0	0
Dental	87	10	3	0	0
GBSRC	97	1	2	0	0
Nursing	98	2	0	0	0
Biotech	95	4	1	0	0
Physiotherapy	98	2	0	0	0
Optometry	95	5	0	0	0
Average	**95**	**4**	**1**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

12. To what extent transparency of internal Assessment was observed

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	81	19	0	0	0
Dental	93	7	0	0	0
GBSRC	91	8	1	0	0
Nursing	98	2	0	0	0
Biotech	83	14	3	0	0
Physiotherapy	95	5	0	0	0
Optometry	85	15	0	0	0
Average	**89**	**10**	**1**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

13. Use of other innovative teaching methodologies (Problem Based Learning, Integrated teaching, etc)

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	80	20	0	0	0
Dental	85	9	5	1	0
GBSRC	83	17	0	0	0
Nursing	81	0	18	1	0
Biotech	77	22	0	1	0
Physiotherapy	86	14	0	0	0
Optometry	79	20	0	1	0
Average	**82**	**15**	**3**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

14. Usefulness of the program/course in building your career

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	96	4	0	0	0
Dental	91	5	4	0	0
GBSRC	92	8	0	0	0
Nursing	95	3	2	0	0
Biotech	98	2	0	0	0
Physiotherapy	92	5	3	0	0
Optometry	90	7	3	0	0
Average	**93**	**5**	**2**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



2018-19

Employer Feedback

SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi
1.	Course outcome of the syllabus is well defined and clear

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	80	12	7	1	0
Dental	81	11	5	3	0
GBSRC	82	12	4	1	1
Nursing	81	13	4	2	0
Biotech	83	11	5	1	0
Physiotherapy	85	9	4	1	1
Homeopathy	83	8	9	0	0
Ayurveda	85	11	3	1	0
Optometry	82	10	6	2	0
Average	**82**	**11**	**5**	**2**	**0**



5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree

s

SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi
2.	Curricula developed and implemented have relevance to the local, national, regional and global health care need

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	85	9	3	2	1
Dental	86	10	4	0	0
GBSRC	87	9	3	1	0
Nursing	85	11	4	0	0
Biotech	88	10	1	1	0
Physiotherapy	89	7	3	0	1
Homeopathy	88	8	3	1	0
Ayurveda	86	10	4	0	0
Optometry	85	9	3	2	1
Average	**87**	**9**	**3**	**1**	**0**



5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree

SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi
3	Curriculum Focus on competency/ employability/ entrepreneurship/ skill-development

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	80	13	7	0	0
Dental	83	10	7	0	0
GBSRC	79	16	4	1	0
Nursing	84	15	0	0	1
Biotech	87	3	10	0	0
Physiotherapy	75	13	9	3	0
Homeopathy	81	13	5	1	0
Ayurveda	83	11	5	1	0
Optometry	85	14	1	0	0
Average	**82**	**12**	**5**	**1**	**0**



5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree

SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

4. Program outcome of the syllabus is well defined and clear

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	81	13	5	1	0
Dental	89	6	5	0	0
GBSRC	86	11	3	0	0
Nursing	90	6	3	0	1
Biotech	91	4	4	1	0
Physiotherapy	86	9	5	0	0
Homeopathy	86	9	4	0	1
Ayurveda	89	8	3	1	0
Optometry	90	4	6	0	0
Average	**88**	**8**	**4**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

5. Research oriented syllabus

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	90	7	3	0	0
Dental	91	7	1	0	1
GBSRC	90	6	4	0	0
Nursing	91	6	2	1	0
Biotech	88	8	3	0	1
Physiotherapy	93	5	2	0	0
Homeopathy	91	5	3	1	0
Ayurveda	92	4	3	0	1
Optometry	91	4	4	1	0
Average	**91**	**6**	**3**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

6. Syllabus is suitable to the course

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	80	20	0	0	0
Dental	83	10	5	2	0
GBSRC	83	12	4	1	0
Nursing	81	14	5	0	0
Biotech	80	15	5	0	0
Physiotherapy	86	12	0	2	0
Homeopathy	80	15	3	1	1
Ayurveda	80	13	5	2	0
Optometry	79	21	0	0	0
Average	**81**	**15**	**3**	**1**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

7. The courses have good balance between theory and practical

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	81	19	0	0	0
Dental	92	7	0	1	0
GBSRC	91	8	1	0	0
Nursing	98	2	0	0	0
Biotech	83	14	3	0	0
Physiotherapy	94	5	0	1	0
Homeopathy	88	10	2	0	0
Ayurveda	89	9	2	0	0
Optometry	85	15	0	0	0
Average	**89**	**10**	**1**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

8. Ability to work as team

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	**92**	7	1	0	0
Dental	**87**	6	3	3	1
GBSRC	**94**	2	2	1	1
Nursing	**93**	4	2	1	0
Biotech	**95**	3	1	0	1
Physiotherapy	**96**	2	0	1	1
Homeopathy	**94**	3	2	0	1
Ayurveda	**93**	6	0	1	0
Optometry	**95**	**5**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Average	**93**	**4**	**1**	**1**	**1**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

9. Adequacy of knowledge and Skills

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	90	10	0	0	0
Dental	75	17	8	0	0
GBSRC	87	8	5	0	0
Nursing	77	13	9	1	0
Biotech	89	11	0	0	0
Physiotherapy	76	17	7	0	0
Homeopathy	82	15	2	0	1
Ayurveda	83	13	3	1	0
Optometry	75	18	7	0	0
Average	**82**	**13**	**5**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

10. Confidence of work self sufficiently

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	88	11	1	0	0
Dental	87	5	8	0	0
GBSRC	97	1	2	0	0
Nursing	80	14	6	0	0
Biotech	92	5	3	0	0
Physiotherapy	86	11	3	0	0
Homeopathy	88	8	3	0	1
Ayurveda	84	8	7	1	0
Optometry	95	5	0	0	0
Average	**88**	**8**	**4**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

11. Maintenance of work disciplines

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	80	20	0	0	0
Dental	85	15	0	0	0
GBSRC	90	0	10	0	0
Nursing	81	19	0	0	0
Biotech	77	18	5	0	0
Physiotherapy	89	11	0	0	0
Homeopathy	84	13	3	0	0
Ayurveda	83	14	3	0	0
Optometry	79	18	3	0	0
Average	**83**	**14**	**3**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

12. Reliability/honesty towards assigned work

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	80	20	0	0	0
Dental	85	10	5	0	0
GBSRC	90	10	0	0	0
Nursing	81	0	19	0	0
Biotech	85	10	5	0	0
Physiotherapy	89	11	0	0	0
Homeopathy	85	10	4	0	1
Ayurveda	84	12	3	1	0
Optometry	79	21	0	0	0
Average	**84**	**12**	**4**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



Professionals Feedback

SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

1. Course outcome of the syllabus is well defined and clear

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	80	19	0	0	1
Dental	85	10	5	0	0
GBSRC	83	17	0	0	0
Nursing	81	0	18	0	1
Biotech	77	23	0	0	0
Physiotherapy	86	14	0	0	0
Homeopathy	81	15	3	1	0
Ayurveda	83	14	3	0	0
Optometry	79	20	0	1	0
Average	**82**	**15**	**3**	**0**	**0**



5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree

SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

2. Curricula developed and implemented have relevance to the local, national, regional and global health care need

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	88	11	1	0	0
Dental	87	5	8	0	0
GBSRC	97	1	2	0	0
Nursing	80	14	6	0	0
Biotech	92	5	3	0	0
Physiotherapy	86	11	3	0	0
Homeopathy	87	8	4	0	1
Ayurveda	89	7	4	0	0
Optometry	95	5	0	0	0
Average	**88**	**8**	**4**	**0**	**0**



5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree

SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

3. Curriculum Focus on competency/ employability/ entrepreneurship/ skill-development

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	81	16	0	2	0
Dental	93	7	0	0	0
GBSRC	91	8	1	0	0
Nursing	98	2	0	0	0
Biotech	83	12	3	2	0
Physiotherapy	95	5	0	0	0
Homeopathy	88	9	1	1	1
Ayurveda	87	11	1	0	1
Optometry	85	14	0	0	1
Average	**89**	**9**	**1**	**1**	**0**



5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree

SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

4. Program outcome of the syllabus is well defined and clear

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	85	9	3	2	1
Dental	86	10	4	0	0
GBSRC	87	9	3	1	0
Nursing	85	11	4	0	0
Biotech	88	10	1	1	0
Physiotherapy	89	7	3	0	1
Homeopathy	87	9	3	1	0
Ayurveda	88	8	4	0	0
Optometry	85	9	3	2	1
Average	**87**	**9**	**3**	**1**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

5. Research oriented syllabus

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	80	13	7	0	0
Dental	83	10	7	0	0
GBSRC	79	16	4	1	0
Nursing	84	15	0	0	1
Biotech	87	3	10	0	0
Physiotherapy	75	16	9	0	0
Homeopathy	81	13	5	1	0
Ayurveda	80	12	3	4	1
Optometry	85	14	1	0	0
Average	**82**	**12**	**5**	**1**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

6. Syllabus is suitable to the course

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	81	13	5	1	0
Dental	89	6	5	0	0
GBSRC	86	11	3	0	0
Nursing	90	6	3	0	1
Biotech	91	4	4	1	0
Physiotherapy	86	9	5	0	0
Homeopathy	87	9	4	0	0
Ayurveda	89	6	5	0	0
Optometry	90	4	6	0	0
Average	**88**	**8**	**4**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

7. The courses have good balance between theory and practical

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	92	7	1	0	0
Dental	87	6	3	3	1
GBSRC	94	2	2	1	1
Nursing	93	4	2	1	0
Biotech	95	3	1	0	1
Physiotherapy	96	2	0	1	1
Homeopathy	94	4	1	0	1
Ayurveda	93	3	2	1	1
Optometry	95	5	0	0	0
Average	**93**	**4**	**1**	**1**	**1**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

8. Ability to work as team

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	80	18	0	0	2
Dental	85	10	5	0	0
GBSRC	90	10	0	0	0
Nursing	81	0	17	1	1
Biotech	85	10	5	0	0
Physiotherapy	87	11	1	1	1
Homeopathy	84	10	5	1	0
Ayurveda	83	13	3	0	1
Optometry	79	20	0	0	1
Average	**83**	**12**	**4**	**0**	**1**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

9. Adequacy of knowledge and Skills

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	96	4	0	0	0
Dental	91	5	4	0	0
GBSRC	92	8	0	0	0
Nursing	95	3	2	0	0
Biotech	98	2	0	0	0
Physiotherapy	92	5	3	0	0
Homeopathy	92	5	2	1	0
Ayurveda	93	5	1	0	1
Optometry	90	7	3	0	0
Average	**93**	**5**	**2**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

10. Confidence of work self sufficiently

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	80	20	0	0	0
Dental	83	12	4	1	0
GBSRC	81	14	5	0	0
Nursing	80	15	5	0	0
Biotech	82	14	0	4	0
Physiotherapy	80	14	5	1	0
Homeopathy	80	15	4	1	0
Ayurveda	79	21	0	0	0
Optometry	83	12	4	1	0
Average	**81**	**15**	**3**	**1**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

11. Maintenance of work disciplines

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	80	20	0	0	0
Dental	85	10	5	0	0
GBSRC	90	10	0	0	0
Nursing	81	0	19	0	0
Biotech	85	10	5	0	0
Physiotherapy	89	11	0	0	0
Homeopathy	85	12	2	0	1
Ayurveda	84	11	5	1	0
Optometry	79	21	0	0	0
Average	**84**	**12**	**4**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

12. Reliability/honesty towards assigned work

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	90	7	3	0	0
Dental	91	7	1	0	1
GBSRC	90	6	4	0	0
Nursing	91	6	2	1	0
Biotech	88	8	3	0	1
Physiotherapy	93	5	2	0	0
Homeopathy	90	6	3	0	1
Ayurveda	91	5	4	0	0
Optometry	91	4	4	1	0
Average	**91**	**6**	**3**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



Alumni Feedback

SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

1. Course outcome of the syllabus is well defined and clear

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	80	12	7	1	0
Dental	81	11	5	3	0
GBSRC	82	12	4	1	1
Nursing	81	13	4	2	0
Biotech	83	11	5	1	0
Physiotherapy	85	9	4	1	1
Homeopathy	81	12	5	1	1
Ayurveda	83	10	4	3	0
Optometry	82	10	6	2	0
Average	**82**	**11**	**5**	**2**	**0**



5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree

SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

2. Curricula developed and implemented have relevance to the local, national, regional and global health care need

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	80	13	7	0	0
Dental	83	10	7	0	0
GBSRC	79	16	4	1	0
Nursing	84	15	0	0	1
Biotech	87	3	10	0	0
Physiotherapy	75	16	9	0	0
Homeopathy	81	11	5	2	1
Ayurveda	83	10	4	2	1
Optometry	85	14	1	0	0
Average	**82**	**12**	**5**	**1**	**0**



5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree

SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

3. Curriculum Focus on competency/ employability/ entrepreneurship/ skill-development

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	90	7	3	0	0
Dental	91	7	1	0	1
GBSRC	90	6	4	0	0
Nursing	91	6	2	1	0
Biotech	88	8	3	0	1
Physiotherapy	93	5	2	0	0
Homeopathy	90	7	2	1	0
Ayurveda	92	5	1	1	1
Optometry	91	4	4	1	0
Average	**91**	**6**	**3**	**0**	**0**



5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree

SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

4. Programme outcome of the syllabus is well defined and clear

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	80	20	0	0	0
Dental	83	10	5	2	0
GBSRC	83	12	4	1	0
Nursing	81	14	5	0	0
Biotech	80	15	5	0	0
Physiotherapy	86	12	0	2	0
Homeopathy	80	13	4	3	0
Ayurveda	82	14	2	1	1
Optometry	79	21	0	0	0
Average	**81**	**15**	**3**	**1**	**0**



5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree

SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

5. Research oriented syllabus

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	80	20	0	0	0
Dental	85	10	5	0	0
GBSRC	90	10	0	0	0
Nursing	81	0	19	0	0
Biotech	85	10	5	0	0
Physiotherapy	89	11	0	0	0
Homeopathy	85	10	3	2	0
Ayurveda	83	10	5	1	1
Optometry	79	21	0	0	0
Average	**84**	**12**	**4**	**0**	**0**



5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree

SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

6. Syllabus is suitable to the course

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	90	7	3	0	0
Dental	91	7	1	0	1
GBSRC	90	6	4	0	0
Nursing	91	6	2	1	0
Biotech	88	8	3	0	1
Physiotherapy	93	5	2	0	0
Homeopathy	90	5	2	2	1
Ayurveda	92	3	4	1	0
Optometry	91	4	4	1	0
Average	**91**	**6**	**3**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree

SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

7. The courses have good balance between theory and practical

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	80	20	0	0	0
Dental	83	10	5	2	0
GBSRC	83	12	4	1	0
Nursing	81	14	5	0	0
Biotech	80	15	5	0	0
Physiotherapy	86	12	0	2	0
Homeopathy	80	16	3	1	0
Ayurveda	82	14	2	1	1
Optometry	79	21	0	0	0
Average	**81**	**15**	**3**	**1**	**0**



5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree

SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

8. Ability to work as team

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	85	9	3	2	1
Dental	86	10	4	0	0
GBSRC	87	9	3	1	0
Nursing	85	11	4	0	0
Biotech	88	10	1	1	0
Physiotherapy	89	7	3	0	1
Homeopathy	86	8	4	1	1
Ayurveda	88	9	2	1	0
Optometry	85	9	3	2	1
Average	**87**	**9**	**3**	**1**	**0**



5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree

SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

9. Adequacy of knowledge and Skills

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	**92**	7	1	0	0
Dental	**87**	6	3	3	1
GBSRC	**94**	2	2	1	1
Nursing	**93**	4	2	1	0
Biotech	**95**	3	1	0	1
Physiotherapy	**96**	2	0	1	1
Homeopathy	**92**	5	2	1	0
Ayurveda	**94**	4	1	0	1
Optometry	**95**	**5**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Average	**93**	**4**	**1**	**1**	**1**



5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree

SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

10. Confidence of work self sufficiently

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	90	10	0	0	0
Dental	75	17	8	0	0
GBSRC	87	8	5	0	0
Nursing	77	13	9	1	0
Biotech	89	11	0	0	0
Physiotherapy	76	17	7	0	0
Homeopathy	81	13	4	2	1
Ayurveda	83	10	6	1	0
Optometry	75	18	7	0	0
Average	**82**	**13**	**5**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

11. Maintenance of work disciplines

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	80	20	0	0	0
Dental	85	10	5	0	0
GBSRC	90	10	0	0	0
Nursing	81	0	19	0	0
Biotech	85	10	5	0	0
Physiotherapy	89	11	0	0	0
Homeopathy	83	12	3	1	1
Ayurveda	85	9	5	1	0
Optometry	79	21	0	0	0
Average	**84**	**12**	**4**	**0**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

12. Reliability/honesty towards assigned work

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	80	12	7	1	0
Dental	81	11	5	3	0
GBSRC	82	12	4	1	1
Nursing	81	13	4	2	0
Biotech	83	11	5	1	0
Physiotherapy	85	9	4	1	1
Homeopathy	81	12	4	3	0
Ayurveda	83	9	6	1	1
Optometry	82	10	6	2	0
Average	**82**	**11**	**5**	**2**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



Staff Feedback

SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

1. Course outcome of the syllabus is well defined and clear

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	81	13	5	1	0
Dental	89	6	5	0	0
GBSRC	86	11	3	0	0
Nursing	90	6	3	0	1
Biotech	91	4	4	1	0
Physiotherapy	86	9	5	0	0
Homeopathy	87	7	5	0	1
Ayurveda	89	9	3	1	1
Optometry	90	4	6	0	0
Average	**88**	**8**	**4**	**0**	**0**



5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree

SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

2. Curricula developed and implemented have relevance to the local, national, regional and global health care need

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	85	9	3	2	1
Dental	86	10	4	0	0
GBSRC	87	9	3	1	0
Nursing	85	11	4	0	0
Biotech	88	10	1	1	0
Physiotherapy	89	7	3	0	1
Homeopathy	88	6	4	2	0
Ayurveda	86	9	3	1	1
Optometry	85	9	3	2	1
Average	**87**	**9**	**3**	**1**	**0**



5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree

SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

3. Curriculum Focus on competency/ employability/ entrepreneurship/ skill-development

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	80	13	7	0	0
Dental	83	10	7	0	0
GBSRC	79	16	4	1	0
Nursing	84	15	0	0	1
Biotech	87	3	10	0	0
Physiotherapy	75	16	9	0	0
Homeopathy	81	13	4	1	1
Ayurveda	83	13	3	1	0
Optometry	85	14	1	0	0
Average	**82**	**12**	**5**	**1**	**0**



5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree

SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

4. Programme outcome of the syllabus is well defined and clear

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	90	7	3	0	0
Dental	90	8	1	0	1
GBSRC	89	6	4	2	0
Nursing	92	6	2	0	0
Biotech	88	9	3	0	0
Physiotherapy	93	5	2	0	0
Homeopathy	91	5	2	1	1
Ayurveda	89	6	3	2	0
Optometry	91	4	3	1	1
Average	**90**	**6**	**3**	**1**	**0**



5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree

SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

5. Research oriented syllabus

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	80	20	0	0	0
Dental	85	14	0	0	1
GBSRC	77	16	5	2	0
Nursing	81	17	0	2	0
Biotech	77	20	3	0	0
Physiotherapy	86	8	5	1	0
Homeopathy	78	14	5	2	1
Ayurveda	80	16	3	1	0
Optometry	79	13	6	1	1
Average	**79**	**16**	**4**	**1**	**0**



5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree

SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

6. Syllabus is suitable to the course

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	81	16	0	2	0
Dental	93	7	0	0	0
GBSRC	91	8	1	0	0
Nursing	98	2	0	0	0
Biotech	83	12	3	2	0
Physiotherapy	95	5	0	0	0
Homeopathy	88	10	0	1	1
Ayurveda	90	8	1	1	0
Optometry	85	14	0	0	1
Average	**89**	**9**	**1**	**1**	**0**



5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree

SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

7. The courses have good balance between theory and practical

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	80	19	0	0	1
Dental	85	10	5	0	0
GBSRC	83	17	0	0	0
Nursing	81	0	18	0	1
Biotech	77	23	0	0	0
Physiotherapy	86	14	0	0	0
Homeopathy	81	14	4	1	0
Ayurveda	83	13	2	1	1
Optometry	79	20	0	1	0
Average	**82**	**15**	**3**	**0**	**0**



5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree

Student Feedback

SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

1. Content of curricula had depth and extensive coverage

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	80	12	7	1	0
Dental	81	11	5	3	0
GBSRC	82	12	4	1	1
Nursing	81	13	4	2	0
Biotech	83	11	5	1	0
Physiotherapy	85	9	4	1	1
Homeopathy	81	12	4	3	1
Ayurved	83	10	6	1	0
Optometry	82	10	6	2	0
Average	**82**	**11**	**5**	**2**	**0**



5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree

SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

2. Curriculum contents Strengthened my skills and knowledge

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	85	9	3	2	1
Dental	86	10	4	0	0
GBSRC	87	9	3	1	0
Nursing	85	11	4	0	0
Biotech	88	10	1	1	0
Physiotherapy	89	7	3	0	1
Homeopathy	86	9	4	0	1
Ayurved	88	7	2	2	1
Optometry	85	9	3	2	1
Average	**87**	**9**	**3**	**1**	**0**



5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree

SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

3 Goals and objectives of the respective subject were clearly stated in the curriculum

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	80	13	7	0	0
Dental	83	10	7	0	0
GBSRC	79	16	4	1	0
Nursing	84	15	0	0	1
Biotech	87	3	10	0	0
Physiotherapy	75	16	9	0	0
Homeopathy	81	11	6	2	0
Ayurved	83	13	3	1	0
Optometry	85	14	1	0	0
Average	**82**	**12**	**5**	**1**	**0**



5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree

SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

4. I do not face any difficulty in relating the lessons learned in the curriculum

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	81	13	5	1	0
Dental	89	6	5	0	0
GBSRC	86	11	3	0	0
Nursing	90	6	3	0	1
Biotech	91	4	4	1	0
Physiotherapy	86	9	5	0	0
Homeopathy	89	5	4	2	0
Ayurved	87	7	5	0	1
Optometry	90	4	6	0	0
Average	**87**	**8**	**4**	**1**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

5. I have acquired the skill to access electronic databases and apply the information so gathered in the medical education

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	90	7	3	0	0
Dental	91	7	1	0	1
GBSRC	90	6	4	0	0
Nursing	91	6	2	1	0
Biotech	88	8	3	0	1
Physiotherapy	93	5	2	0	0
Homeopathy	89	6	4	1	0
Ayurved	92	4	2	1	1
Optometry	91	4	4	1	0
Average	**90**	**6**	**3**	**1**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

6. Learning activities of respective subjects were matched the objectives

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	80	20	0	0	0
Dental	83	10	5	2	0
GBSRC	83	12	4	1	0
Nursing	81	14	5	0	0
Biotech	80	15	5	0	0
Physiotherapy	86	12	0	2	0
Homeopathy	80	16	4	0	0
Ayurved	82	14	2	1	1
Optometry	79	21	0	0	0
Average	**81**	**15**	**3**	**1**	**0**

5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree



SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

7. Methods of evaluation in all internal assessment and university examination were appropriate and fair

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	81	19	0	0	0
Dental	92	7	0	1	0
GBSRC	91	8	1	0	0
Nursing	98	2	0	0	0
Biotech	83	14	3	0	0
Physiotherapy	94	5	0	1	0
Homeopathy	88	11	1	0	0
Ayurved	90	9	0	1	0
Optometry	85	15	0	0	0
Average	**89**	**10**	**1**	**0**	**0**



5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree

SrNo	Feedback on Parameters related to Curricula/ Syllabi

8. The contents of each lesson were as per my prospects

Institute	5	4	3	2	1
Medical	81	13	5	1	0
Dental	89	6	5	0	0
GBSRC	86	11	3	0	0
Nursing	90	6	3	0	1
Biotech	91	4	4	1	0
Physiotherapy	86	9	5	0	0
Homeopathy	87	9	3	0	1
Ayurved	89	5	5	1	0
Optometry	90	4	6	0	0
Average	**88**	**8**	**4**	**0**	**0**



5: Strongly Agree 4: Agree 3: Satisfactory
2: Disagree 1: Strongly Disagree